UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,312	02/25/2002 David Kammer		PALM-3741.US.P	5496
	7590 06/27/200 JRABITO & HAO LLI	EXAMINER		
Third Floor Two North Mar		TRAN, TUAN A		
San Jose, CA 9:		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Ap	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10	0/083,312		KAMMER ET AL.				
		Ex	aminer		Art Unit				
		1 -	JAN A. TRAN		2618				
The MAILII Period for Reply	NG DATE of this commur	nication appears	s on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time mare after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply is Failure to reply within the Any reply received by	CTATUTORY PERIOD F CONGER, FROM THE N y be available under the provisions from the mailing date of this com s specified above, the maximum s he set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months ustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, caus	OF THIS CO In no event, howe ply and will expire See the application to	MMUNICATION wer, may a reply be time BIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ Responsive	to communication(s) file	ed on <i>29 Febru</i>	arv 2008						
·= ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 February 2008</u> . This action is FINAL .								
′ =		<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claim	s								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-6</i>	<i>3 and 13-30</i> is/are pendi	ng in the applic	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·= \ \ · · -	s)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 13-24</u> is/are rejected.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are objected to.								
	are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ection requirer	nent.					
Application Papers									
9) The specific	ation is objected to by th	ne Examiner.							
•	(s) filed on is/are		ed or b)∏ obje	ected to by the E	Examiner.				
·	y not request that any obje		-	=					
• •				-	* *	FR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1.☐ Certif	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2.☐ Certif	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3.☐ Copie	es of the certified copies	of the priority of	documents ha	ve been receive	d in this National	Stage			
applic	cation from the Internation	onal Bureau (Po	CT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-6 and 13-24) in the reply filed on 02/29/2008 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-3, 3-15 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Larsson (6,697,638).

Regarding claim 13, Larsson discloses a portable computer system (car kit) 160 capable of establishing Bluetooth communications with other portable computer system (handset) 140 (See fig. 1), comprising: a Bluetooth radio 162; inherently a processor coupled to the Bluetooth radio via bus and coupled to a memory including instructions that when executed implement a method of establishing Bluetooth connections between the portable computers, the method comprising: a) storing a plurality of Bluetooth device identification of a first portable computer system (handset) on a memory resident list of a second portable computer system (car kit); b) in response to a request to establish

Application/Control Number: 10/083,312 Page 3

Art Unit: 2618

communication between the first and second portable computer systems, accessing the device identification of the first portable computer system on the second portable computer system; c) and establishing a Bluetooth connection between the first and second portable computer systems by sending a Bluetooth page message from the second portable computer system to the first portable computer system without need of a Bluetooth inquiry message, wherein the establishing bypasses a Bluetooth discovery process (See figs. 6-7 and col. 4 lines 44-59, col. 6 lines 59-64).

Regarding claim 14, Larsson discloses as cited in claim 13. Larsson further discloses the device identification is automatically determined in a two-way communication between the first and second portable computer systems prior to step c) (See fig. 6 and col. 6 lines 41-53).

Regarding claim 15, Larsson discloses as cited in claim 13. Larsson further discloses the device identification is unknown to the second portable computer system and is entered by a user of the second portable computer system (See col. 6 lines 54-64).

Claims 1-3 and 19-21 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 13-15, as method.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,312 Page 4

Art Unit: 2618

 Claims 4-5, 16-17 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsson (6,697,638) in view of Phillipps (WO 02/09362).

Regarding claim 16, Larsson discloses as cited in claim 13. However, Larsson does not explicitly mention that the second portable computer system is capable of displaying list of device identification for selection by a user to establish the Bluetooth connection. Since portable computer system capable of displaying list of Bluetooth device identifications for selection by a user to establish the Bluetooth connection is known in the art as shown by Phillipps (See fig. 3 and page 3 line 30 to page 4 line 2); therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Phillips in modifying the portable computer system as disclosed by Larsson for the advantage of allowing the user to view the device list and to manually select a device in interest for connection.

Regarding claim 17, Larsson and Phillips disclose as cited in claim 16. Larsson further discloses the representation of the device identification is a Bluetooth friendly name (See col. 6 lines 59-64).

Claims 4-5 and 22-23 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 16-17, as method.

3. Claim 6, 18 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsson (6,697,638) in view of Johansson et al. (2002/0044549).

Application/Control Number: 10/083,312 Page 5

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 18, Larsson discloses as cited in claim 13. However, Larsson does not mention the step of automatically beginning the Bluetooth discovery process in responsive to a failure of step c). Since Johansson teaches a method of forming efficient scatternet (See fig. 3), wherein Johansson suggests that the Inquiry process (Bluetooth discovery process) should be invoked by every node periodically in order to detect new node or adapt to new connectivity conditions due to mobility or obstacles (See page 5 [0070]) and one known reason for a failure of establishing Bluetooth connection is devices that are out of range; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the concept of Johansson for configuring the system, as disclosed by Larsson, to invoke the Inquiry process (or discovery process) in responsive to a failure of establishing Bluetooth connection for the advantage of adapting to new connectivity conditions as well as allowing the user of the device to look for other compatible or available devices for connection.

Claims 6 and 24 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claim 18, as method.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUAN A. TRAN whose telephone number is (571)272-7858. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tuan A Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618 Application/Control Number: 10/083,312

Page 7

Art Unit: 2618